REGID IN REGULATORY AUTR.

BEFORE THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY AND 11 51

NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

February 8, 2002

IN RE:	
COUNCE NATURAL GAS COMPANY ACTUAL COST ADJUSTMENT (ACA) AUDIT)) Docket No. 02-00066)

NOTICE OF FILING BY ENERGY AND WATER DIVISION OF THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY

Pursuant to Tenn. Code Ann. 33 65-4-104, 65-4-111 and 65-3-108, the Energy and Water Division of the Tennessee Regulatory Authority gives notice of its filing of the Counce Natural Gas Company's ACA Audit Report in this docket and would respectfully state as follows:

- 1. The present docket was opened by the Authority to hear matters arising out of the audit of Counce Natural Gas Company (the "Company").
- 2. The Company's ACA filing was received on January 11, 2002, and the Staff completed its audit of same on January 30, 2002.
- 3. On February 4, 2002, the Energy and Water Division issued its preliminary ACA audit findings to the Company, and on February 4, 2002, the Company responded thereto.
- 4. The preliminary ACA audit report was modified to reflect the Company's responses and a final ACA audit report (the "Report") resulted therefrom. The Report is

attached hereto as Exhibit A and is fully incorporated herein by this reference. The Report contains the audit findings of the Energy and Water Division, the Company's responses thereto and the recommendations of the Energy and Water Division in connection therewith.

5. The Energy and Water Division hereby files its Report with the Tennessee Regulatory Authority for deposit as a public record and approval of the recommendations and findings contained therein.

Respectfully Submitted:

Pat Murphy Cupley

Energy and Water Division of the Tennessee Regulatory Authority

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 8th day of February, 2002, a true and exact copy of the foregoing has been either hand-delivered or delivered via U.S. Mail, postage pre-paid, to the following persons:

Mr. K. David Waddell Executive Secretary Tennessee Regulatory Authority 460 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, TN 37243

Mr. Mike Horton, President Counce Natural Gas Company P.O. Box 285 Burnsville, MS 38833

> Pat Meysky Pat Murphy

EXHIBIT A

COMPLIANCE AUDIT REPORT

OF

ACTUAL COST ADJUSTMENT DOCKET #02-00066

PREPARED BY THE

TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY

ENERGY AND WATER DIVISION

February 2002

COMPLIANCE AUDIT

COUNCE NATURAL GAS COMPANY ACTUAL COST ADJUSTMENT DOCKET #02-00066

TABLE OF CONTENTS

				Page
I.	JURISDICTION AND POWER OF THE TENNI REGULATORY AUTHORITY	ESSEE		3
II.	PURPOSE OF COMPLIANCE AUDITS			4
III.	DESCRIPTION OF PURCHASED GAS ADJUST	ГМЕNT (PGA)	RULE	4
IV.	AUDIT TEAM			4
V.	OBJECTIVE AND SCOPE OF AUDIT			5
VI.	COMPANY BACKGROUND			5
VII.	ACA FINDINGS			6
VIII.	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS			9

I. <u>JURISDICTION AND POWER OF THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY</u> <u>AUTHORITY</u>

Tennessee Code Annotated (T.C.A.) §65-4-104 gave jurisdiction and control over public utilities to the Tennessee Public Service Commission. By virtue of Chapter 305 of the Public Acts of 1995, jurisdiction and control over public utilities were transferred from the Tennessee Public Service Commission (TPSC or Commission) to the Tennessee Regulatory Authority (the "TRA" or "Authority") on July 01, 1996. T.C.A. §65-4-104 states that:

The Authority shall have general supervision and regulation of, jurisdiction, and control over, all public utilities...

T.C.A. §65-4-111 gives the TRA the power to require public utilities to maintain a Uniform System of Accounts. T.C.A. §65-4-111 states that:

The Authority shall have the power after hearing, upon notice, by order in writing to require every public utility... to keep its books, records, and accounts so as to afford an intelligent understanding of the conduct of its business, and to that end to require every public utility of the same class to adopt a uniform system of accounting. Such system shall conform, where applicable, to any system adopted or approved by the Interstate Commerce Commission of the United States. And to furnish annually, or at other times as the authority may require, a detailed report of finances and operations as shown by said system of accounts.

The TRA responded to T.C.A. §65-4-111 by establishing Rule 1220-4-1-1.11 regarding the uniform system of accounts which public utilities should maintain. The TRA's rule provides that all utilities follow the Uniform System of Accounts as adopted and amended by the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners (NARUC).

The TRA received its authority to examine the books and records of public utilities from T.C.A. §65-3-108 which states that the TRA shall possess:

...full power to examine the books and papers of the companies, and to examine, under oath, the officers, agents, and employees of the companies and any other persons, to procure the necessary information to intelligently and justly discharge its duties and carry out the provisions of this chapter and chapter 5 of this title.

II. PURPOSE OF COMPLIANCE AUDITS

The two basic reasons for compliance audits are to assure compliance with the Uniform System of Accounts (USOA) and to assure that the utility is following all rules, regulations and directives adopted by the TRA.

Compliance audits provide the foundation of assurance underlying the basic objective of regulatory accounting, which is to provide a uniform method of recording transactions among similar companies. This uniform record keeping is accomplished through the adoption of the USOA and insures the integrity, reliability, and comparability of the financial data contained in financial reports filed with the TRA which provides the TRA one of its most useful regulatory tools for establishing just and reasonable rates.

III. DESCRIPTION OF PURCHASED GAS ADJUSTMENT (PGA) RULE

The Tennessee Regulatory Authority issued an Order in Docket No. G-86-1, which adopted a new PGA rule beginning July 1, 1992. The PGA Rider is intended to permit the Company to recover, in a timely fashion, the total cost of gas purchased for delivery to its customers and to assure that the Company does not over-collect or under-collect gas costs from its customers. This PGA consists of three major components:

- 1) The Actual Cost Adjustment (ACA)
- 2) The Gas Charge Adjustment (GCA)
- 3) The Refund Adjustment (RA)

The ACA is the difference between the revenues billed customers by means of the GCA and the cost of gas invoiced the Company by suppliers plus margin loss (if allowed by order of the TRA in another docket) as reflected in the Deferred Gas Cost account. The ACA then "trues-up" the difference between the actual gas costs and the gas costs recovered from the customer through a surcharge or a refund. The RA refunds the "true-up" along with other supplier refunds.

IV. AUDIT TEAM

The TRA's Energy and Water Division is responsible for conducting compliance audits of gas, water, and electric utilities. Pat Murphy, of the Energy and Water Division, conducted this audit.

V. OBJECTIVE AND SCOPE OF AUDIT

The order for Docket G-86-1 required that the Company

each year...shall file with the Authority an annual report reflecting the transactions in the Deferred Gas Cost Account. Unless the Authority provides written notification to the Company within one hundred eighty (180) days from the date of filing the report, the Deferred Gas Cost Adjustment Account shall be deemed in compliance with the provisions of this Rule...

The objective of this audit was to determine that Purchased Gas Adjustments, which are encompassed by the ACA and were described above, approved by the TRA during the period from October 1, 2000 to September 30, 2001, had been calculated correctly and were supported by appropriate source documentation. To accomplish this task, the Staff conducted in-house audit work during which the Company's gas supplier invoices were examined and calculations of gas costs recovered were made.

The Staff also audited a sample of customer bills to determine if the proper PGA rates were being applied in the Company's calculation of the customers' bills. These bills were selected to be representative of the residential, commercial and industrial customers. The sample was selected from all twelve months of the audit period.

The Staff's last ACA audit of Counce Natural Gas was conducted in 2001 and covered the period October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2000.

VI. <u>COMPANY BACKGROUND</u>

Counce Natural Gas Company (Counce), with its headquarters in Burnsville, MS, is a wholly owned subsidiary of Tumlinson Engineering, Inc., and was formed in 1995 for the purpose of acquiring the operating authority of Hardin County Gas Company and providing natural gas service to customers in Hardin County, Tennessee. Hardin County Gas Company's certificate of convenience and necessity (CCN) was transferred to Counce on December 22, 1995, per Docket #95-03379. In October 2000, ownership of Tumlinson Engineering, Inc. was transferred from Ted Tumlinson to Mike Horton. The natural gas used to serve this area is purchased from Enbridge Marketing (U.S.), Inc. The gas purchases are made in accordance with separate and individual tariffs approved by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, while the gas transported is purchased under contract or on the spot market.

VII. ACA FINDINGS

The Company submitted its ACA filing on January 11, 2002, covering the period October 1, 2000 to September 30, 2001. The filing reflected gas costs during the period of \$95,608.11 with \$112,621.77 being recovered from the ratepayers through rates. Overcollected gas costs during the period, including interest, was -\$17,018.86. Adding a beginning balance of \$7,342.78 in under-collected gas costs from the last ACA audit resulted in an ending balance of \$-9,676.08 in over-collected gas costs due to the Company's customers. The Staff's audit results show -\$19,959.19 in over-collected gas costs (including interest) during the period. Adding the beginning balance of \$7,342.78 produces an **ending balance at September 30, 2001 of -\$12,616.41 in over-collected gas costs** due to the Company's customers. The difference between the Company's filing and the Staff's results is -\$2,940.33 in over-collected gas costs. A summary of the ACA account as filed by the Company and as adjusted by the Staff is shown below, followed by a detail of each finding.

SUMMARY OF THE ACA ACCOUNT:

	Company	Staff	Difference (Findings)
Beginning Balance at 10/01/00	\$ 7,342.78	\$ 7,342.78	\$ 0
Plus Gas Costs	95,608.11	95,608.11	0
Minus Gas Cost Recoveries	125,726.06	128,497.63	2,771.56
Minus ACA Refunds	-13,104.30	-13,104.30	0
Ending Balance before Interest	\$ -9,670.88	\$ -12,442.44	\$ -2,771.56
Plus Interest	5.20	-173.97	-168.77
Ending Balance at 9/30/01	\$ -9,676.08	\$ -12,616.41	\$ -2,940.33

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS:

	NET RESULT	\$ <u>2,940.33</u>	Over-collection
FINDING #2	Interest Calculation	168.77	Over-collection
FINDING #1	Gas Cost Recovery	\$2,771.56	Over-collection

FINDING #1

Exception

The Company incorrectly calculated its gas cost recoveries for the period. Total gas cost recoveries were understated by \$2,771.56.

Discussion

After reviewing the Company's calculations of recoveries, we discovered that the PGA factor charged on the customers bills for the months of January and February 2001 was different than the factor reported in the Company's filing.

The PGA factor in effect for Counce when Mr. Horton purchased Tumlinson Engineering in October 2000 was \$6.6196 per MCF. Due to the escalating gas prices that winter, Mr. Horton increased his recovery factor to \$7.02 per MCF in January 2001. Subsequently, the TRA became aware of the transfer of ownership and contacted Mr. Horton. At that time, Mr. Horton was made aware of the rules of this agency and that prior approval is required to increase or decrease the PGA factor. Mr. Horton immediately changed his billing program to bill the correct PGA factor for the remainder of the period.

Company Response

The Company agrees with this finding.

FINDING #2

Exception

Interest on account was understated by \$168.77.

Discussion

We recalculated the interest due to the customers, based on Finding #1.

Company Response

The Company agrees with this finding.

VIII. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

We conclude that except for the Findings noted, the ACA process as provided for in the Purchased Gas Adjustment Rule is working properly and in accordance with Authority Rules for Counce Natural Gas. The corrected balance in the ACA account as of September 30, 2001 is -\$12,616.41 in over-collected gas costs. Our calculation of this balance is shown in Attachment 1. The balance is the sum of -\$19,785.22 in under-collected gas costs, -\$173.97 in interest due to customers and \$7,342.78 in under-collected beginning balance at October 1, 2000. Spreading the balance over the 12 month-to-date September 2001 sales of 18,993 MCF produces an ACA adjustment factor of -\$0.6643 per MCF.

Therefore, beginning with the February 2002 billing, Counce Natural Gas is authorized to implement its **new billing rate of \$7.4157 per MCF**. The rate is composed of \$2.13 base rate plus the approved \$5.95 purchased gas adjustment plus the current -\$0.6643 ACA adjustment.

ATTACHMENT 1

Counce Natural Gas Corporation

Calculation of the ACA factor

No.	Factor to be applied to residential, commercial and industrial	customers:	
1	Cost of Gas Purchased (10/00 - 09/01)	95,608.11	
2	Cost of Gas Recovered (10/00 - 09/01)	128,497.63	
3	Under/(Over) Recovery (line 1 minus line 2)	(32,889.52)	
4	Interest on Average Monthly Balances	(173.97)	
5	ACA Surcharges (Refunds) (10/00 - 09/01)	(13,104.30)	
6	Beginning Balance (10/01/00)	7,342.78	
7	Balance at 9/30/01 (line 3 plus line 4 minus line 5 plus line 6)	(12,616.41)	Over-Recovery
			•
8	Sales Volumes (Actual 12 month ended 9/30/01)	18,993	MCF
9	ACA Factor (line 7 divided by line 8)	(0.6643)	Per MCF